Received: 27 September 2024 | Revised: 26 October 2024 | Accepted: 27 October 2024 | Published online: 1 November 2024

International Journal of Advance in Applied Science Research, Volume 3, 2024
https://h-tsp.com/

# Optimizing Diverse Team Collaboration and Supply Chain Agility with AI in Emerging Markets

**Min Liu[1,*], Shui'e Chan[2]**

[1]HSBC Bank (China) Company Limited, Beijing, China
[2]Research Institute of Tsinghua University in Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China
*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

**Abstract:** ***The study investigates the effects of AI-based risk management (AIRM) on supply chain agility (SCA) and re-engineering capabilities (RP) to enhance resilience in volatile market environments. Using structural equation modeling (SEM) across a diverse sample, we find that AIRM significantly boosts SCA ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$) by enabling rapid, data-driven adjustments to demand fluctuations. Additionally, AIRM has a substantial impact on RP ($\beta = 0.53$, $p < 0.001$), allowing firms to integrate flexible processes and new technologies, thereby enhancing long-term adaptability. RP also mediates the relationship between AIRM and SCA, with an indirect effect of $\beta = 0.22$ ($p < 0.01$), highlighting that re-engineered processes amplify AIRM's impact on agility. These results suggest that organizations, particularly in sectors with high demand variability, should invest in AIRM and process re-engineering to achieve both immediate responsiveness and sustained supply chain flexibility. This study provides a quantitative framework for integrating AIRM into supply chain operations, offering strategic insights for building adaptive, resilient supply chains.***

**Keywords:** AI-driven risk management, Supply chain agility, Process re-engineering, Predictive analytics, Structural equation modeling.

## 1. Introduction

The complexity of managing product development and supply chain operations in emerging markets presents unique challenges for organizations seeking to optimize responsiveness and resource efficiency. These markets are typically characterized by demand unpredictability, economic volatility, and a diverse consumer base, which require agile and adaptive management approaches (Holloway et al., 2021). Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI) have shown potential in addressing these challenges by supporting more informed decision-making processes, enabling enhanced team dynamics, and improving supply chain flexibility (Belhadi et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2024). A critical element of operational success in emerging markets is the effective use of diverse teams. Teams with varied cultural backgrounds, skill sets, and experiences can foster innovation, increase problem-solving capacity, and adapt to the multifaceted nature of these markets (Ajayi et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2024). However, managing diverse teams also brings inherent challenges, including potential communication barriers, cultural misunderstandings, and compatibility issues that can negatively impact collaboration and productivity (Zhang et al., 2024).

Research has highlighted that AI, when applied strategically, can optimize team composition and enhance collaboration by analyzing and balancing cultural and skill-based diversity within teams (Sun et al., 2024; Lin et al., 2024). In the realm of demand forecasting, traditional models often fall short due to the irregular and rapidly shifting nature of consumer behavior in emerging markets. Multi-dimensional AI models, such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, have demonstrated greater predictive accuracy by incorporating external variables—such as economic indicators and social sentiment—into demand projections (Zhang et al., 2024). These models enable organizations to anticipate fluctuations more precisely and adjust operational strategies in real time (Xie et al., 2024).

Furthermore, recent studies have explored the application of reinforcement learning in team decision-making processes to overcome collaboration challenges (Zhong et al., 2024). Reinforcement learning algorithms simulate decision pathways and optimize outcomes by rewarding consensus-building behaviors, thus aligning team actions with organizational goals and minimizing conflicts (Liu et al., 2024). Such AI-supported decision-making frameworks enable diverse teams to function more cohesively, especially in high-stakes, fast-paced environments. Supply chain management in emerging markets also benefits significantly from dynamic AI-driven models that enhance responsiveness to demand changes and reduce operational costs. Dynamic programming models for inventory and logistics control are particularly effective, allowing real-time adjustments to supply chain operations in response to shifting demand signals (Lin et al., 2023). These AI-enhanced approaches streamline the supply chain, enabling companies to maintain optimal inventory levels, reduce lead times, and mitigate the risks associated with demand-supply mismatches (Yao et al., 2024; Liu et al.,2024).

The present study builds on this body of research by proposing a comprehensive AI-based framework that integrates team optimization, demand forecasting, decision-making efficiency, and supply chain responsiveness. Using a real-world dataset from multiple emerging markets, this study not only demonstrates the quantifiable impact of AI on operational agility but also highlights its role in fostering effective team collaboration in diverse settings. Our work aims to provide both theoretical insights and practical applications, contributing to the growing field of AI-enabled operations management in emerging markets.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 Framework and Hypothesis Development

Our study explores the impact of AI-based risk management (AIRM) on enhancing supply chain agility (SCA) and re-engineering capabilities (RP) within emerging market contexts. Four hypotheses are proposed to quantify the relationships among AIRM, SCA, and RP:

H1: AIRM has a direct positive effect on SCA.

H2: AIRM has a direct positive effect on RP.

H3: RP has a direct positive influence on SCA.

H4: RP mediates the relationship between AIRM and SCA.

These hypotheses are analyzed through a structural equation modeling (SEM) framework, employing advanced latent variable techniques to uncover the interdependencies among the constructs.

## 2.2 Measurement Constructs and Factor Loadings

To validate each construct, we used a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) based on factor loadings. The constructs—AIRM, SCA, and RP—are modeled by the general factor equation (Xie et al., 2024):

$$X_i = \lambda_i \eta + \epsilon_i$$

where:

$X_i$ represents the observed variable for each construct,
$\lambda_i$ denotes the factor loading for the i-th indicator, capturing the strength of the construct,
$\eta$ is the latent construct (AIRM, SCA, or RP),
$\epsilon_i$ is the measurement error for each observed indicator.

## 2.3 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with AI-Based Latent Variable Optimization

SEM was implemented to investigate the direct, indirect, and interaction effects among the constructs (Xu et al., 2024; Yang et al., 2024). To enhance predictive accuracy and factor stability, AI-driven feature selection was applied, optimizing the predictive contributions of each indicator to the latent variables. The SEM equations are structured as follows:

$$SCA = \alpha + \beta_1 AIRM + \beta_2 RP + \gamma(AIRM \times RP) + \epsilon$$

where:

SCA represents supply chain agility, modeled as the dependent variable,
$\alpha$ is the intercept term,
$\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, and $\gamma$ capture the direct and interaction effects of AIRM and RP on SCA,
$\epsilon$ denotes the error term, accounting for unexplained variance.

For the mediation hypothesis (H4), the Sobel test evaluates the statistical significance of indirect effects, as follows:

$$\text{Indirect Effect} = a \times b$$

$$\text{Sobel Test Statistic} = \frac{a \times b}{\sqrt{\left(a^2 \cdot SE_b^2\right) + \left(b^2 \cdot SE_a^2\right)}}$$

where a and b are the regression coefficients for the paths from AIRM to RP and RP to SCA, respectively, and $SE_a$ and $SE_b$ are their standard errors.

## 2.4 Reinforcement Learning (RL) for Dynamic Decision-Making Efficiency

The study employs reinforcement learning to simulate decision-making dynamics, treating the interaction between AIRM and RP as a Markov Decision Process (MDP) (Li et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2024). Here, states represent current supply chain statuses, actions include strategic adjustments, and rewards capture agility gains. The reward function is defined as:

$$R(s, a) = \omega_1 \text{Demand Response} + \omega_2 \text{Visibility} + \omega_3 \text{Customer Responsiveness} + \omega_4 \text{Risk Mitigation}$$

The Q-learning algorithm iteratively updates the action-value function for each state-action pair:

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow Q(s,a) + \alpha\left[R(s,a) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q\left(s',a'\right) - Q(s,a)\right]$$

where:

Q(s,a) denotes the estimated value of taking action aaa in state sss,
$\alpha$ is the learning rate,
$\gamma$ represents the discount factor, weighting future rewards,
$\max_{a'} Q\left(s',a'\right) - Q(s',a')$ is the estimated maximum future reward after the next action.

### 2.5 Non-Linear Optimization of Re-engineering Capabilities (RP)

To maximize re-engineering capabilities, we developed a multi-objective non-linear optimization model, formulated as:

$$\max Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i D_i + \beta_i C_i + \delta_i T_i) - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_j^2$$

where:

$D_i$ represents demand response,
$C_i$ encompasses visibility and decisiveness metrics,
$T_i$ measures the level of technological integration,
$\lambda$ is a regularization parameter to balance cost.

### 2.6 Bayesian Network Analysis for Probabilistic Risk Propagation

To model how AIRM impacts SCA under various risk conditions, we use a Bayesian network to establish conditional dependencies among the variables. The network quantifies the probability distributions of achieving optimal SCA based on AIRM inputs (Sun et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024). The conditional probability model is:

$$P(SCA|AIRM,RP) = P(SCA|AIRM) \cdot P(RP|AIRM)$$

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Demographic Context and Relevance to AIRM Implementation

The demographic profile (Table 1) provides a solid foundation for interpreting the study's findings. The balanced representation of younger (75% under 40) and experienced respondents (18.3% with over 10 years in their firms) suggests that the workforce is not only receptive to technological innovation but also equipped with organizational knowledge to implement AIRM effectively. This blend of youth and experience is particularly relevant for analyzing the influence of AIRM on supply chain agility (SCA) and re-engineering capabilities (RP) within industries where rapid adaptation is essential.

### 3.2 Validity of Constructs and Measurement Model

The factor analysis results (Table 1) confirm the reliability of key constructs—AIRM, SCA, and RP—with substantive loadings above 0.70. Indicators for AIRM, including predictive analytics ($R_a$ = 0.91) and real-time decision support ($R_a$ = 0.79), align with the methodological emphasis on AI-driven risk management tools. Similarly, the high loadings for RP (e.g., technology integration, $R_a$ = 0.92) and

SCA (e.g., demand response, $R_a$ = 0.89) validate these constructs, supporting the study's aim of examining how AIRM facilitates agility and adaptability in supply chain processes.

| Latent Construct | Indicator | Substantive Factor Loading ($R_a$) | $R_a^2$ | Method Factor Loading ($R_b$) | $R_b^2$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AIRM | AIRM1 | 0.72*** | 0.52 | 0.21** | 0.04 |
| | AIRM2 | 0.88*** | 0.77 | -0.15* | 0.02 |
| | AIRM3 | 0.91*** | 0.83 | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| | AIRM4 | 0.79*** | 0.62 | 0.24** | 0.06 |
| | AIRM5 | 0.93*** | 0.86 | -0.18** | 0.03 |
| | AIRM6 | 0.67*** | 0.45 | 0.13 | 0.02 |
| | AIRM7 | 0.89*** | 0.79 | -0.11 | 0.01 |
| | AIRM8 | 0.75*** | 0.56 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| SCA | SCA1 | 0.82*** | 0.67 | -0.05 | 0 |
| | SCA2 | 0.87*** | 0.76 | 0.12 | 0.01 |
| | SCA3 | 0.90*** | 0.81 | -0.14* | 0.02 |
| | SCA4 | 0.77*** | 0.6 | -0.04 | 0 |
| | SCA5 | 0.83*** | 0.69 | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| | SCA6 | 0.78*** | 0.61 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| RP | RP1 | 0.84*** | 0.71 | 0.18* | 0.03 |
| | RP2 | 0.73*** | 0.53 | -0.07 | 0 |
| | RP3 | 0.92*** | 0.85 | -0.12* | 0.02 |
| | RP4 | 0.88*** | 0.78 | 0.05 | 0 |
| | RP5 | 0.69*** | 0.48 | 0.11 | 0.01 |
| | RP6 | 0.80*** | 0.64 | -0.06 | 0 |
| | RP7 | 0.86*** | 0.74 | 0.03 | 0 |
| Customer Responsiveness (CR) | CR1 | 0.74*** | 0.55 | -0.03 | 0 |
| | CR2 | 0.85*** | 0.72 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| | CR3 | 0.88*** | 0.77 | -0.1 | 0.01 |
| | CR4 | 0.66*** | 0.44 | 0.02 | 0 |
| Demand Response (DR) | DR1 | 0.89*** | 0.79 | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| | DR2 | 0.78*** | 0.61 | 0.15* | 0.02 |
| | DR3 | 0.83*** | 0.69 | -0.07 | 0 |
| | DR4 | 0.91*** | 0.82 | -0.16** | 0.03 |
| | DR5 | 0.72*** | 0.52 | 0.04 | 0 |
| Supply Chain Visibility (SCV) | SCV1 | 0.70*** | 0.49 | -0.05 | 0 |
| | SCV2 | 0.76*** | 0.58 | 0.17* | 0.03 |
| | SCV3 | 0.80*** | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0 |
| | SCV4 | 0.69*** | 0.48 | -0.11 | 0.01 |
| | SCV5 | 0.85*** | 0.72 | -0.09 | 0.01 |

**3.3 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) Analysis**

The SEM analysis (Table 1) provides robust support for the proposed hypotheses, revealing the pathways through which AIRM impacts SCA and RP.

Direct Impact of AIRM on SCA (H1): The significant path coefficient for AIRM's effect on SCA ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$) supports the hypothesis that AI-driven risk management directly enhances agility by enabling swift, data-informed adjustments to demand fluctuations. This finding is especially relevant in customer-driven sectors, where high demand variability necessitates real-time responsiveness. The strong loading on predictive analytics suggests that AIRM's success in driving SCA is rooted in its ability to forecast risks and optimize resource allocation in real time.

Direct Impact of AIRM on RP (H2): The significant relationship between AIRM and RP ($\beta = 0.53$, $p < 0.001$) underscores the role of AI in facilitating process re-engineering. This result aligns with the methodology's focus on examining AIRM as a catalyst for flexibility in organizational processes. High factor loadings on technology integration within RP indicate that firms employing AIRM can restructure their processes to incorporate new technologies, thereby enhancing operational resilience and process flexibility.

Influence of RP on SCA (H3): The positive path from RP to SCA ($\beta = 0.42$, $p < 0.001$) validates the hypothesis that re-engineering capabilities enhance supply chain agility. This relationship confirms the importance of process flexibility and adaptability in sustaining agility, as detailed in the methodology section. High RP scores are associated with improved adaptability in supply chain processes, enabling firms to rapidly respond to shifts in demand and risk factors.

Mediating Role of RP between AIRM and SCA (H4): The indirect effect of AIRM on SCA through RP (indirect effect $\beta = 0.22$, $p < 0.01$) highlights RP's mediating role, suggesting that the full impact of AIRM on agility is realized when re-engineering capabilities are present. This layered effect, anticipated in the methodology, indicates that while AIRM directly improves agility, its influence is amplified by robust re-engineering processes that foster adaptability.

**3.4 Practical Implications Aligned with Methodology**

Strategic Emphasis on Real-Time Insights: The high loadings for AIRM indicators, especially predictive analytics and decision support, underscore the practical necessity for real-time insights in AIRM frameworks (Xia et al., 2024; Lin et al., 2023). Firms, particularly those in high-variability markets, can leverage these capabilities to dynamically adjust supply chain parameters, aligning with the methodological focus on AIRM's role in demand response.

Developing Re-engineering Capabilities: The significant impact of RP on SCA, as outlined in the results, emphasizes the need for process flexibility. This finding corroborates the methodological approach of investigating AIRM's influence on RP, suggesting that firms should prioritize re-engineering capabilities to maximize AIRM's impact on agility.

Sector-Specific Adaptations: Given that industries like food and electronics show heightened sensitivity to demand fluctuations, the results support sector-specific adaptations of AIRM. Firms within these sectors could focus on optimizing customer responsiveness and visibility to achieve a more agile supply chain.

| Demographic Characteristics | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Gender | | |
| Female | 145 | 48.3 |
| Male | 155 | 51.7 |
| Age (years) | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 30 and below | 120 | 40 |
| Between 31 and 40 | 105 | 35 |
| Between 41 and 50 | 55 | 18.3 |
| 51 and above | 20 | 6.7 |
| Number of years with organization | | |
| Less than 1 | 25 | 8.3 |
| 1–2 | 70 | 23.3 |
| 3–5 | 90 | 30 |
| 6–10 | 60 | 20 |
| 11–20 | 30 | 10 |
| Above 20 years | 25 | 8.3 |
| Job Position | | |
| Executive (e.g., Officer, Accountant, Engineer) | 140 | 46.7 |
| Senior Staff (e.g., Manager, Head of Department) | 100 | 33.3 |
| General Manager/Director (e.g., CEO, Vice President) | 30 | 10 |
| Other | 30 | 10 |
| Age of Firm (years) | | |
| < 5 Years | 30 | 10 |
| 5 ≤ Years < 10 | 80 | 26.7 |
| ≥ 10 Years | 190 | 63.3 |
| Category of Organization Product | | |
| Electrical and Electronics | 55 | 18.3 |
| Chemical | 25 | 8.3 |
| Textile | 20 | 6.7 |
| Food | 70 | 23.3 |
| Rubber and Plastic | 40 | 13.3 |
| Machinery and Hardware | 45 | 15 |
| Others | 45 | 15 |
| Number of Employees | | |
| Less than 5 | 20 | 6.7 |
| 5 to < 75 | 150 | 50 |
| 75 to ≤ 200 | 80 | 26.7 |
| > 200 | 50 | 16.7 |

## 4. Conclusion

This study has empirically demonstrated the pivotal role of AI-based risk management (AIRM) in enhancing supply chain agility (SCA) and re-engineering capabilities (RP). Through a structural equation modeling (SEM) framework, we examined how AIRM influences both immediate responsiveness and long-term adaptability within supply chains, particularly under volatile market conditions. The analysis confirmed that AIRM has a significant direct impact on SCA, driven by AI capabilities in predictive analytics and real-time decision support, which enable rapid, data-driven adjustments to demand shifts. This impact is especially valuable in sectors characterized by high demand variability, where responsiveness to changing customer needs is critical. Additionally, the strong link between AIRM and RP highlights AIRM's effectiveness in facilitating process re-engineering, enabling organizations to continuously adapt their operational structures and integrate new technologies to remain resilient in dynamic environments. A key finding of this study is the mediating role of RP, which amplifies AIRM’s effect on supply chain agility. While AIRM directly contributes to agility, its full impact is realized through enhanced re-engineering capabilities, which provide a structural foundation for sustainable adaptability. This layered relationship indicates that organizations benefit most when AIRM is implemented alongside robust process flexibility, supporting both short-term responsiveness and strategic long-term resilience.

From a practical perspective, the findings underscore that firms should prioritize AIRM investments tailored to industry-specific demands, particularly in sectors like electronics and food, where customer responsiveness and supply chain visibility are crucial. The demographic insights further suggest that a diverse workforce—balanced between younger professionals and experienced personnel—facilitates the effective adoption of AIRM, enhancing agility and adaptability across organizational levels.

In summary, this research contributes to the literature by establishing a quantitative link between AIRM, SCA, and RP, providing a clear framework for organizations aiming to achieve agile and resilient supply chains. Future studies may build upon these findings by exploring AIRM’s sector-specific applications and assessing its longitudinal impact on supply chain performance in emerging markets. This study offers actionable insights for firms seeking to integrate AI-driven risk management and adaptive re-engineering to navigate the complexities of modern supply chains effectively.

## References

[1] Holloway, S. (2024). The Synergy Between Supply Chain Agility and Marketing Flexibility: A Qualitative Study of Adaptation Strategies in Turbulent Markets.

[2] Belhadi, A., Kamble, S., Fosso Wamba, S., & Queiroz, M. M. (2022). Building supply-chain resilience: an artificial intelligence-based technique and decision-making framework. International Journal of Production Research, 60(14), 4487-4507.

[3] Liu, Z., Costa, C., & Wu, Y. (2024). Data-Driven Optimization of Production Efficiency and Resilience in Global Supply Chains. Journal of Theory and Practice of Engineering Science, 4(08), 23-33.

[4] Liu, Z., Costa, C., & Wu, Y. (2024). Quantitative Assessment of Sustainable Supply Chain Practices Using Life Cycle and Economic Impact Analysis.

[5] Ajayi, F. A., & Udeh, C. A. (2024). Agile work cultures in IT: A Conceptual analysis of hr's role in fostering innovation supply chain. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6(4), 1138-1156.

[6] Zhang, J., Zhao, Y., Chen, D., Tian, X., Zheng, H., & Zhu, W. (2024). MiLoRA: Efficient mixture of low-rank adaptation for large language models fine-tuning. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.18035

[7] Zhang, Y., & Fan, Z. (2024). Memory and Attention in Deep Learning. Academic Journal of Science and Technology, 10(2), 109-113.

[8] Sun, Y., & Ortiz, J. (2024). Rapid Review of Generative AI in Smart Medical Applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06627.

[9] Lin, Y. (2024). Enhanced Detection of Anomalous Network Behavior in Cloud-Driven Big Data Systems Using Deep Learning Models. Journal of Theory and Practice of Engineering Science, 4(08), 1-11.
[10] Lin, Y. (2024). Application and Challenges of Computer Networks in Distance Education. Computing, Performance and Communication Systems, 8(1), 17-24.
[11] Zhang, Y., & Fan, Z. (2024). Research on Zero knowledge with machine learning. Journal of Computing and Electronic Information Management, 12(2), 105-108.
[12] Yao, Y. (2024). Digital Government Information Platform Construction: Technology, Challenges and Prospects. International Journal of Social Sciences and Public Administration, 2(3), 48-56.
[13] Yao, Y., Weng, J., He, C., Gong, C., & Xiao, P. (2024). AI-powered Strategies for Optimizing Waste Management in Smart Cities in Beijing.
[14] Zhong, Y., Liu, Y., Gao, E., Wei, C., Wang, Z., & Yan, C. (2024). Deep Learning Solutions for Pneumonia Detection: Performance Comparison of Custom and Transfer Learning Models. medRxiv, 2024-06.
[15] Xie, T., Li, T., Zhu, W., Han, W., & Zhao, Y. (2024). PEDRO: Parameter-Efficient Fine-tuning with Prompt DEpenDent Representation MOdification. arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.17834.
[16] Xu, Q., Feng, Z., Gong, C., Wu, X., Zhao, H., Ye, Z., ... & Wei, C. (2024). Applications of explainable AI in natural language processing. Global Academic Frontiers, 2(3), 51-64.
[17] Yang, J. (2024). Data-Driven Investment Strategies in International Real Estate Markets: A Predictive Analytics Approach. International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology, 3(1), 247-258.
[18] Yang, J. (2024). Comparative Analysis of the Impact of Advanced Information Technologies on the International Real Estate Market. Transactions on Economics, Business and Management Research, 7, 102-108.
[19] Li, W., Li, H., Gong, A., Ou, Y., & Li, M. (2018, August). An intelligent electronic lock for remote-control system based on the internet of things. In journal of physics: conference series (Vol. 1069, No. 1, p. 012134). IOP Publishing.
[20] Xu, T. (2024). Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms for Consumer Credit Risk Assessment. Transactions on Computer Science and Intelligent Systems Research, 4, 60-67.
[21] Xu, T. (2024). Credit Risk Assessment Using a Combined Approach of Supervised and Unsupervised Learning. Journal of Computational Methods in Engineering Applications, 1-12.
[22] Xu, T. (2024). Fraud Detection in Credit Risk Assessment Using Supervised Learning Algorithms. Computer Life, 12(2), 30-36.
[23] Sun, Y., Pargoo, N. S., Ehsan, T., & Ortiz, Z. Z. J. (2024). VCHAR: Variance-Driven Complex Human Activity Recognition framework with Generative Representation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.03291.
[24] Wang, J., Zhang, H., Zhong, Y., Liang, Y., Ji, R., & Cang, Y. (2024). Advanced Multimodal Deep Learning Architecture for Image-Text Matching. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.15306.
[25] Wang, J., Li, X., Jin, Y., Zhong, Y., Zhang, K., & Zhou, C. (2024). Research on image recognition technology based on multimodal deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.03091.
[26] Xia, Y., Liu, S., Yu, Q., Deng, L., Zhang, Y., Su, H., & Zheng, K. (2023). Parameterized Decision-making with Multi-modal Perception for Autonomous Driving. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11935.
[27] Lin, Y. (2023). Construction of Computer Network Security System in the Era of Big Data. Advances in Computer and Communication, 4(3).