Received: 6 September 2024 | Revised: 11 September 2024 | Accepted: 15 September 2024 | Published online: 15 October 2024

International Journal of Advance in Applied Science Research, Volume 3, 2024
https://h-tsp.com/

Application of Deep Learning Image Segmentation Algorithm in Product Defects

Changjin Liu*

Anhui Zhongke Optoelectronic Color Selection Machinery Co., Ltd., Hefei 230000, Anhui, China
**Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.*

Abstract: ***This paper investigates the application of deep learning image segmentation algorithms in product defect detection. Firstly, by analyzing the problems of traditional methods in product defect detection, the challenges faced by traditional methods are pointed out. Then, the advantages and applications of it in product defect detection were discussed in detail, providing reference for relevant personnel.***

Keywords: Deep learning; Image segmentation algorithm; Product defects; Application.

1. Introduction

Product defect detection is an important link in the production process, which is crucial for ensuring the quality and safety of products. Traditional product defect detection methods often rely on manual judgment and complex algorithm calculations, which suffer from subjectivity, low efficiency, and slow processing speed. To overcome these problems, deep learning image segmentation algorithms have gradually attracted widespread attention and application. The deep learning image segmentation algorithm utilizes deep neural networks for feature extraction and pattern recognition of product images, achieving automated classification and segmentation of product defects. This article will investigate the application of deep learning image segmentation algorithms in product defect detection and explore their advantages in improving detection accuracy and efficiency. Deep learning algorithms have demonstrated outstanding application value in industrial defect detection. With the rapid development of machine vision and industrial automation, deep learning technology has become an effective solution for defect detection due to its high precision and efficiency.

Deep learning algorithms, especially convolutional neural networks (CNNs), can automatically learn features from images, videos, or sensor data and perform efficient defect detection. Wu, Z. introduces a novel combination of REEGWO, CNN, and BiLSTM, significantly improving the optimization of deep learning parameters, applicable in fields requiring advanced time series forecasting [1]. Its applications mainly focus on image classification, object detection, attribute recognition, etc. It can determine whether there are defects in the image, locate the defect area, and recognize the type, size, position, and other attributes of the defect. In addition, generative adversarial networks are also used to generate images containing defects to improve the accuracy of training and testing. The application of deep learning algorithms has greatly improved the automation and intelligence level of industrial defect detection Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have demonstrated powerful application capabilities in defect detection [2]. The application of CNN and BiLSTM in product defect detection is mainly reflected in the construction of deep learning models to achieve efficient and accurate defect recognition[3]. Specifically, CNN (Convolutional Neural Network) is used for feature extraction, which convolves the input data layer by layer through convolutional layers to extract defect features on the product surface[4]. BiLSTM (Bidirectional Long Short Term Memory Network) is used to process sequential data, which can capture the temporal dependencies of defect features and further improve the accuracy of defect detection. In practical applications, CNN and BiLSTM are often combined with other network layers (such as attention layer, softmax layer, etc.) to construct a hybrid neural network model for defect detection on product surfaces[5-6]. This model can fully utilize the advantages of CNN and BiLSTM to achieve efficient and accurate defect recognition, improve product quality and production efficiency[7]. It can automatically learn features from images, videos, or sensor data and perform efficient defect detection, such as cracks, flaws, etc. During the implementation process, the CNN model is trained on a training set, continuously adjusting network parameters until optimal performance is achieved on the validation set, and then using a test set to evaluate the model's generalization ability[8]. In addition, lightweight CNN models have been designed to address the issues of limited storage space and computing resources for surface defect detection in industrial products. Their detection accuracy is comparable to state-of-the-art models, but with fewer parameters and computational complexity[9].

2. Problems in the Application of Traditional Methods in Product Defect Detection

2.1 Dependence on Manual Judgment

1) Subjectivity and subjective error: Traditional methods rely on manual subjective judgment, and the results are often influenced by individual experience and subjective preferences, leading to inconsistencies and inaccuracies in the results. Different judgment personnel may have different judgment results for the same product, and this subjectivity makes the judgment results lack objectivity and replicability.

2) Artificial fatigue and visual fatigue: Long term visual inspection or manual testing can make personnel prone to fatigue and visual fatigue, thereby affecting the accuracy and reliability of judgment. When manual operators detect defects in a large number of products, it is inevitable that they will miss or misjudge due to fatigue, which will affect the quality control of the products.

3) Difficult to deal with complex defects: In some complex product defect detection, manual judgment methods often fail to meet the requirements. The complex defect morphology, texture differences, and subtle defect variations all make it difficult for traditional methods to accurately determine the existence and degree of defects [10].

2.2 High False Alarm Rate

False alarm rate refers to the proportion of detection tasks in which the detection system incorrectly identifies truly defect free samples as defective samples. Traditional methods commonly suffer from serious misjudgment of defects and noise, which means that some non defective information is also identified as defects, resulting in a high false alarm rate. For example, in an image, some ambiguous areas, areas with obvious gradient changes, etc. may be falsely reported as defect areas by traditional methods. The main reason for the high false alarm rate is that traditional methods use relatively simple feature extraction methods and classification algorithms, which have strong limitations. The traditional method usually first uses manually designed features to find defect areas, and then uses relevant classification algorithms to classify the defects. However, these manual feature extraction methods are often not accurate and effective enough to extract representative and discriminative feature information from complex images. Moreover, the decision boundaries of classifiers are usually rough, and the results are prone to misjudgment due to the limitations of the selected features.

2.3 Slow Processing Speed

Due to the manual design of feature extraction and classifier construction required by traditional methods, a large amount of computing resources and time are often needed, resulting in slow processing speed. Firstly, traditional methods of feature extraction are typically based on manually designed algorithms. Due to the fact that manually extracted features are usually relatively simple low-level features, it is often difficult to obtain effective high-level features and accurately express information such as the structure and shape of objects. This limitation is particularly significant when dealing with large-scale datasets, requiring a large amount of efficient computing resources for processing. Secondly, traditional methods often use simpler classifiers such as Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Random Forests (RF). When these classifiers perform classification, they need to consider the entire feature space, which requires a large amount of computation. At the same time, the decision boundary of the classifier is relatively rough, and the defect information detected is not accurate enough. Moreover, classifiers typically only detect a single defect type and cannot simultaneously detect multiple defect types, making it difficult to meet practical application requirements. In addition, traditional methods can usually only process a single image, making it difficult to achieve parallel processing. In practical applications, it is necessary to process a large number of image sequences simultaneously, which requires traditional methods to perform a lot of interactive operations, resulting in further reduced processing speed [11-12]. Y Xu et al. explores how experience management tools enhance customer perceived value in the electric vehicle market by improving price perception, quality, and brand image. These tools boost customer satisfaction and loyalty while promoting sustainable consumer behaviors, making eco-friendly EVs more appealing [13].

3. Advantages of Deep Learning Image Segmentation Algorithms in Product Defect Detection

3.1 Higher Accuracy

Deep learning image segmentation algorithms have higher accuracy and can effectively locate and segment defect areas automatically. In contrast, traditional methods require manually designed features to extract defect areas and are prone to recognition errors due to limitations. Deep learning image segmentation algorithms can adaptively learn and extract features, reducing the occurrence of errors.

3.2 Better Scalability

The deep learning image segmentation algorithm is based on the framework of deep learning and has high scalability, which can be easily extended to new product types and datasets. In contrast, traditional methods require redesigning features and classifiers, which cannot quickly adapt to new datasets and tasks.

3.3 Faster Calculation Speed

Deep learning image segmentation algorithms can efficiently utilize computer GPUs for parallel computing, improving processing speed and efficiency. In contrast, traditional methods typically require a significant amount of image processing and computation, resulting in slower computational speeds.

4. Application of Deep Learning Image Segmentation Algorithm in Product Defects

4.1 Surface Defect Detection

Surface defects in products are a common issue that affects product quality and appearance. Traditional surface defect detection methods often rely on manual visual inspection, which is subjective, inefficient, and prone to missed and false detections. Deep learning image segmentation algorithms, through the training and optimization of deep neural networks, can achieve high-precision and automated surface defect detection. Firstly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can perform pixel level segmentation on product images, thereby achieving accurate detection and localization of surface defects. Through the training of deep neural networks, image segmentation algorithms can learn the features and texture information of surface defects, and distinguish defect areas from normal areas in the image. For example, in the detection of surface defects on packaging boxes, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can accurately segment defects such as scratches and discoloration in the image, achieving precise detection and localization of defects. Secondly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can automatically learn and adapt to the surface defect features of different products, and have strong generalization ability. Through training and repeated optimization on large-scale datasets, deep learning models can identify and extract feature patterns of surface defects, enabling them to cope with defects of different shapes, sizes, and types. This adaptability can significantly reduce the parameters and rules that need to be manually adjusted in traditional methods, improving the accuracy and stability of detection. For example, in surface defect detection of electronic products, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can automatically identify and segment defect areas for different types and specifications of electronic products, improving the efficiency and accuracy of defect detection [13].

4.2 Packaging Defect Detection

Packaging defect detection is one of the important aspects of product quality control, and deep learning image segmentation algorithms have been widely used in the field of packaging defect detection due to their powerful learning ability and accurate segmentation results. Firstly, deep learning algorithms can achieve high-level semantic understanding of images, accurately segment packaging defect areas, and improve the accuracy and robustness of detection. Secondly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can automatically learn features without the need for human design, greatly reducing the need for manual intervention. Meanwhile, deep learning image segmentation algorithms also have good universality and generalization ability, and can adapt to packaging defect detection tasks of different types and sizes. However, deep learning image segmentation algorithms also face some challenges in packaging defect detection. Firstly, a large amount of annotated data is required to train deep learning models, and the acquisition and annotation costs of packaging defect datasets are relatively high. Secondly, for some complex packaging defects, current deep learning image segmentation algorithms still have certain limitations and need to be further improved to enhance the accuracy of detection. In addition, deep learning image segmentation algorithms also face certain pressures in terms of computational resources and time consumption, requiring higher performance hardware devices and optimized algorithms to meet the needs of real-time detection. To address the above challenges, future research can be conducted from the following aspects. Firstly, further improve the deep learning image segmentation algorithm to enhance the accuracy and robustness of detecting complex packaging defects. Secondly, explore techniques such as few sample learning and transfer learning to reduce reliance on large amounts of annotated data. In addition, strengthen the research on the interpretability and interpretability of deep learning models to improve their credibility and reliability. It can also be combined with artificial intelligence assisted defect detection systems to improve detection efficiency and accuracy through human-machine collaboration. Finally, strengthen hardware optimization and algorithm acceleration of deep learning image segmentation algorithms to achieve real-time online detection.

4.3 Forming Defect Detection

Forming defects are one of the most common defects in manufacturing, typically caused by material and process issues during the production process. Traditional detection methods often rely on workers' experience and visual inspection, which results in certain human judgment bias and false detection rates. Deep learning image segmentation algorithms can effectively solve these problems and achieve automated detection of product forming defects. Firstly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can identify and separate different material regions, thereby eliminating the influence of material differences and achieving accurate detection of forming defects. Deep learning models can automatically perceive local features of products and extract the most prominent representations through learning from a large amount of sample data. If deep learning image segmentation algorithms are applied to defect detection in sheet metal forming, the sheet metal image can be segmented into normal areas and transition areas at corners, achieving recognition and judgment of important defects. Secondly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can quickly detect and determine the accurate location and area of forming defects, reducing manual intervention in the detection process. Compared to traditional defect detection methods, deep learning image segmentation methods greatly reduce the cost of defect detection. For example, in automobile body forming defect detection, deep learning algorithms can effectively and quickly detect defects while ensuring the accuracy and stability of the results. Thirdly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can be used to classify and sort defects. By learning from the sample library, deep learning models can accurately identify and classify various defects, further improving the accuracy and feasibility of defect localization. For example, applying deep learning to spline defect analysis in metal forming can more accurately classify images, automate detection, and standardize defects [14].

4.4 Quality Classification and Grading

The application of deep learning image segmentation algorithms in quality classification and grading can achieve automated classification and grading of different qualities through feature extraction and pattern recognition of product images. Firstly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can extract key features from product images, such as color, texture, shape, etc., thereby achieving quality classification. Through training with a large number of samples, deep learning models can learn feature patterns of different qualities and segment key features in product images with corresponding qualities. For example, in textile manufacturing, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can segment textile texture, textile density, etc. in images to distinguish and classify different qualities. Secondly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can segment defects in product images from normal areas, achieving grading of different qualities. By training the model, the deep learning model can learn features of different defect types and segment defect areas from normal areas in product images. For example, in electronic product manufacturing, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can segment display defects, color differences, etc. in images to achieve different levels of product quality [15].

4.5 Mixing Detection

Mixing is one of the common defects in the production process, which refers to the mixing of different ingredients or batches of raw materials together during product manufacturing, resulting in a decrease or instability in product quality. The application of deep learning image segmentation algorithms in mixed material detection can effectively identify and separate mixed raw materials, thereby achieving automated detection of mixed material defects. Firstly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can distinguish between different components or batches of raw materials, achieving region segmentation of mixed raw materials. Through training with a large number of samples, deep learning models can learn features such as morphology, color, and texture of different raw materials. For example, in food manufacturing, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can segment different color blocks in the image, thereby achieving localization and identification of mixed raw materials. Secondly, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can achieve defect detection of mixed raw materials through feature extraction and pattern recognition. Deep learning models can learn feature patterns from different raw materials and segment defects from normal regions in mixed raw materials. For example, in the production of plastic products, deep learning image segmentation algorithms can segment color differences, impurities, etc. in images, thereby achieving the detection of mixed material defects [16].

5. Future Development Trends of Deep Learning Image Segmentation Algorithms in Product Defect Detection

5.1 Multimodal Fusion

Future deep learning image segmentation algorithms may pay more attention to the fusion of multiple modal information. In addition to RGB images, other sensor data (such as infrared, radar, etc.) and textual information (such as product manuals) can be used as auxiliary information to improve the accuracy and robustness of defect detection. Related research may explore how to effectively integrate multiple modal information into deep learning models to improve the performance of defect detection [17].

5.2 Weakly Supervised Learning

Current deep learning image segmentation algorithms typically require a large amount of precise labeled data for training. However, obtaining annotated data is costly and time-consuming. Future research may focus on weakly supervised learning methods, by utilizing techniques such as weak labels, incomplete labels, or unsupervised/semi supervised learning to reduce dependence on annotated data and improve the practicality of the algorithm [18].

5.3 Real Time and Efficiency

Real time and efficiency are of great significance for product defect detection. Future research may focus on improving the efficiency of deep learning image segmentation algorithms in terms of computational resources and time consumption to meet the needs of real-time detection. In response to the current issue of long training and inference times, more efficient algorithms and acceleration methods may be proposed.

6. Conclusion

Deep learning image segmentation algorithms can improve the effectiveness and accuracy of product defect detection. This article studies the application of deep learning image segmentation algorithms in product defect detection. At the same time, this article also analyzes the problems and challenges of deep learning image segmentation algorithms in product defect detection. Overall, deep learning image segmentation algorithms have broad application prospects and development potential in product defect detection, and further research can be strengthened in the future.

References

[1] Wu, Z. (2024). Deep Learning with Improved Metaheuristic Optimization for Traffic Flow Prediction. Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 6(4), 47-53.

[2] Ren, Z. (2024). Enhanced YOLOv8 Infrared Image Object Detection Method with SPD Module. Journal of Theory and Practice in Engineering and Technology, 1(2), 1 – 7. Retrieved from https://woodyinternational.com/index.php/jtpet/article/view/42

[3] Wang, Z., Yan, H., Wang, Y., Xu, Z., Wang, Z., & Wu, Z. (2024). Research on autonomous robots navigation based on reinforcement learning.?arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.02539.

[4] Wang, Z., Zhu, Y., Chen, M., Liu, M., & Qin, W. (2024). Llm connection graphs for global feature extraction in point cloud analysis. Applied Science and Biotechnology Journal for Advanced Research, 3(4), 10-16.

[5] Lim, S. , Kim, Y. J. , & Kim, K. . (2020). Three-dimensional visualization of medical image using image segmentation algorithm based on deep learning. Journal of Korea Multimedia Society, 23, 468-475.

[6] Wang, Z., Chu, Z. C., Chen, M., Zhang, Y., & Yang, R. (2024). An Asynchronous LLM Architecture for Event Stream Analysis with Cameras. Social Science Journal for Advanced Research, 4(5), 10-17.

[7] Ren, Z. (2024). A Novel Topic Segmentation Approach for Enhanced Dialogue Summarization. World Journal of Innovation and Modern Technology, 7(4), 42-49.

[8] Shen, Z. (2023). Algorithm Optimization and Performance Improvement of Data Visualization Analysis Platform based on Artificial Intelligence. Frontiers in Computing and Intelligent Systems, 5(3), 14-17.

[9] Xiong, Y. , Liang, L. , Wang, L. , She, J. , & Wu, M. . (2020). Identification of cash crop diseases using automatic image segmentation algorithm and deep learning with expanded dataset. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 177(4), 105712.

[10] An, F. P. , & Liu, J. E. . (2021). Medical image segmentation algorithm based on multilayer boundary perception-self attention deep learning model.

[11] Feng, Z. , Min, Q. , & Hua, X. . (2024). Research on the application of deep learning in human spinal image segmentation. IOP Publishing Ltd.

[12] Wu, Z. (2024). An Efficient Recommendation Model Based on Knowledge Graph Attention-Assisted Network (KGATAX).?arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.15315.

[13] Xu, Y., Shan, X., Guo, M., Gao, W., & Lin, Y. S. (2024). Design and Application of Experience Management Tools from the Perspective of Customer Perceived Value: A Study on the Electric Vehicle Market. World Electric Vehicle Journal, 15(8), 378.

[14] Zhu, Z., Wang, Z., Wu, Z., Zhang, Y., & Bo, S. (2024). Adversarial for Sequential Recommendation Walking in the Multi-Latent Space.?Applied Science and Biotechnology Journal for Advanced Research,?3(4), 1-9.
[15] Xiong, J. W. M. . (2020). Identification of cash crop diseases using automatic image segmentation algorithm and deep learning with expanded dataset. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 177(1).
[16] Wang, Z., Sun, W., Chu, Z. C., Zhang, Y., & Wu, Z. (2024). LLM for Differentiable Surface Sampling for Masked Modeling on Point Clouds.
[17] He, C., Liu, M., Wang, Z., Chen, G., Zhang, Y., & Hsiang, S. M. (2022). Facilitating Smart Contract in Project Scheduling under Uncertainty—A Choquet Integral Approach. Construction Research Congress 2022, 930–939. https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784483961.097
[18] He, C., Yu, B., Liu, M., Guo, L., Tian, L., & Huang, J. (2024). Utilizing Large Language Models to Illustrate Constraints for Construction Planning. Buildings, 14(8), 2511. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14082511
[19] Ji, H., Xu, X., Su, G., Wang, J., & Wang, Y. (2024). Utilizing Machine Learning for Precise Audience Targeting in Data Science and Targeted Advertising. Academic Journal of Science and Technology, 9(2), 215-220.